arXiv:1512.06717v2 [math.AG] 2 May 2016

WORST UNSTABLE POINTS OF A HILBERT SCHEME

CHEOLGYU LEE

Abstract. In this paper, we describe worst unstable Hilbert points for some special Hilbert polynomials using Murai's work on Gotzmann monomial sets. Also, we check if worst unstable Hilbert points described in this paper have maximal regularity.

1. Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field and let $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ be a polynomial ring over k. Here $r \geq 2$. Let P be a Hilbert polynomial of S/I for some homogeneous ideal I of S. In this paper, g_P is the Gotzmann number associated to P defined in [4]. For $d \geq g_P$ there are closed immersions

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(S_d, Q(d)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\Big(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d\Big)$$

which are compatible with the canonical linear action of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_r(k)$. Here

$$Q(d) = \binom{r+d-1}{r-1} - P(d).$$

Let ϕ_d^P be the composition of these maps. Consider GIT quotients $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1}) /\!\!/ \mathrm{GL}_r(k)$ and $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d) /\!\!/ \mathrm{GL}_r(k)$. We have the Hesselink stratification of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ described in [5, p. 9]. That is,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d\Big)^{\mathrm{us}} = \coprod_{[\lambda], d'} S_{[\lambda], d'}.$$

From this stratification, we obtain the Hesselink stratification

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})^{\mathrm{us}} = \coprod_{[\lambda], d'} S_{[\lambda], d'} \cap \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$$

of a Hilbert scheme. There is a maximal d'' such that there is a 1-PS λ satisfying $S_{[\lambda], d''} \cap \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1}) \neq \emptyset$. A point in $\coprod_{[\lambda]} S_{[\lambda], d''} \cap \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$ is a worst unstable Hilbert point. Describing worst unstable Hilbert points is a first step to understand the Hesselink stratification of a Hilbert scheme.

We first try to describe worst unstable points of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ for $d \gg 0$ using the asymptotic behavior(Lemma 3.1) of some functions associated to the Hilbert polynomial P(Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3). Also we prove that these points have constant Hilbert polynomial. That is, a worst unstable point of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ belongs

Date: May 2nd, 2016.

The author wants to thank his advisor, David Hyeon.

to $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$ if and only if P is constant(Theorem 4.2). After that, we use [8, PROPOSITION 8] to describe worst unstable Hilbert points when

$$P(d) = \binom{r+d-1}{r-1} - \binom{r+d-\gamma-1}{r-1} + p \tag{1}$$

for some $p, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$.(Theorem 4.3, Theorem 5.3) Note that (1) is always true when $r = 3$. Hesselink stratification of a Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$ depends on a choice of projective space $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$. However, we show that the set of worst unstable Hilbert points remains unchanged for all but finitely many choices of d when (1) is true(Theorem 5.4). Furthermore, these worst unstable Hilbert points are Borel-fixed, so that it is possible to compute the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of worst unstable Hilbert points(Theorem 6.1). We can see if worst unstable Hilbert points attain maximal regularity when (1) holds.

2. Notations and Details in Computation

Let's start with some notations. Let G be a reductive group. $\Gamma(G)$ is the group of all 1-parameter subgroups of G. $X(G)$ is the group of all characters of G. Let T_r be the group of diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_k(r)$. We shall omit the subscript r when there is no confusion. T is a maximal torus of $\mathrm{GL}_k(r)$. $\Gamma(T) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^r$ as a group. There is the Euclidean norm $||\cdot||$ on $\Gamma(T)$, which is invariant under the Weyl group action on T. By [6, Lemma 3.4], $||\cdot||$ extends to a *length* of 1-parameter subgroups of G defined in [6, p.305].

In general, for any reductive group G with a length function on $\Gamma(G)$ and a unstable point x of a linear G-representation Z, we can measure the *magnitude* of instability. Following definition is introduced in [6].

Definition Let G be a reductive group which linearly acts on an affine space Z. Fix some length function $||\cdot||$ on $\Gamma(G)$. Let $[z] \in \mathbb{P}(Z)$ be the line through the origin containing $z \in Z \setminus \{0\}$. For any G-equivariant colsed immersion $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{P}\big(Z\big)$, $y \in Y$ is a worst unstable point of Y with respect to G and ϕ if and only if there is an $x \in Z$ such that $[x] = y$, x is unstable and $B_{\{0\},x} = \max\{B_{\{0\},z} | z \in Z, \quad [z] \in Y\}$. Here $B_{\{0\},x}$ is defined in [6] for any unstable point $x \in Z \setminus \{0\}$. $|Z, x|$ and $\Xi_{x,Z}$ are defined in [6, p.308-309]. If $[z] = y$, let $B_y^\phi = B_{\{0\},z}$ and $\Xi_y^\phi = \Xi_{z,Z}$. Let's omit the superscript ϕ if there is no confusion.

Let $G_r = \mathrm{GL}_r(k)$. Subscript r can be omitted if there is no confusion. There's an action of T on Z induced by the action of G on Z. $y \in Y$ is a worst unstable point of Y with respect to G and ϕ if and only if there's a $g \in G$ such that $g.y$ is a worst unstable point with respect to T and ϕ. This is a trivial consequence of [6]. For any $y \in \mathbb{P}(Z)$, let Δ_y^ϕ be the convex hull of $\Xi_y^\phi(T)$ in $X(T) \otimes \mathbb{R} = X(T)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Such a polytope is called the state polytope of y under ϕ. Let $|\Delta_p^\phi|$ be the Euclidean distance from the origin of $X(T)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to Δ_p^ϕ. We can measure the magnitude of instability under the action of T by $|\Delta_\star^\phi|$. A point $p \in Y$ is worst unstable with respect to T and ϕ if and only if

$$|\Delta_p^\phi| = \max_{q \in Y} |\Delta_q^\phi|.$$

See the proof of [6, lemma 2.3] and [7, Corollary 4.9] for details.

Suppose $Z = \bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d$, $Y = \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$ and $\phi = \phi_d^P$. Let's identify a graded ideal I of S generated by I_d satisfying $\dim_k I_d = Q(d)$ with a point $[\bigwedge^{Q(d)} I_d] \in Y$.

Note that if a face of Δ_I is equal to $\{v \in X(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} | w(v) \geq a\} \cap \Delta_I$ for some $w \in (X(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})^\vee$ then it contains $\Delta_{\text{in}_{\prec_{w,\text{lex}}} I}$, which is a point in $X(T)$. Here $\prec_{w,\text{lex}}$ is the weighted lexicographic order. For details, see the proof of [1, Theorem 3.1]. This means that there is $g \in \text{GL}_r(k)$ such that $g.I$ is a monomial ideal for every worst unstable point I of $\text{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1}) \subset \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ under the action of G and ϕ_d^P. For these reasons, we find worst unstable monomial ideals in $\text{Gr}(S_d, Q(d))$ with under the action of T first. Let's define some notations. Consider Plücker embedding

$$\psi_d^b : \text{Gr}(S_d, b) \to \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^b S_d)$$

before we state a definition.

Definition Let M_d be the set of all monomials in S_d. For any monomial $n \in S_d$, we can define $U_i(n) = \{v \in M_d | \deg_{x_j} v \leq \deg_{x_j} n \text{ for all } i \neq j\}$. Let

$$R_{d,b}(S) = \{W \in \text{Gr}(S_d, b) | W \text{ is generated by monomials.}\}.$$

For any $W \in R_{d,b}(S)$ let N_W be the monomial basis of W. $W^\star \in \text{Gr}(S_d, b')$ is a k-subspace of S_d generated by $M_d \backslash N_W$. Here $b' = \binom{r+d-1}{r-1} - b$. Let $\mathbf{c}^W, \mathbf{c}^{W\star} \in X(T)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be lattice points satisfying $\Delta_W^{\psi_d^b} = \{\mathbf{c}^W\}$ and $\Delta_{W^\star}^{\psi_d^{b'}} = \{\mathbf{c}^{W\star}\}$ for $W \in R_{d,b}(S)$.
If $W \in R_{d,b}(S)$ then $\mathbf{c}^W$ is just the exponent of a monomial

$$\prod_{n \in N_W} n.$$

It is not true that the $\text{GL}_r(k)$ orbit of a lex segment ideal is the set of all worst unstable Hilbert points with respect to G. Let $S = k[x, y, z]$. It is true that the orbit of $I = \langle x^3, x^2y, x^2z \rangle$ is the set of worst unstable point of the projective space $\mathbb{P}(S_3 \wedge S_3 \wedge S_3)$ with respect to T because it maximalizes the first coordinate of $\mathbf{c}^{I_3}$. By symmetry, if

$$J = \langle xy^2, xyz, xz^2, y^3, y^2z, yz^2, z^3 \rangle$$

then the $\text{GL}_3(k)$ orbit of J_3 is the set of worst unstable point of $\mathbb{P}(S_3^{\wedge 7})$. Moreover, J has the minimal growth at degree 3. Thus, $J_3 \in \text{Hilb}^3(\mathbb{P}_k^2)$ and it is a worst unstable Hilbert point. In fact, there is a generalization of this fact. We can find it by examining the asymptotic behavior of some functions defined by P.

3. Worst unstable points of a Projective space containing a Hilbert scheme

In this section, we examine the asymptotic behavior of some functions associated to a Hilbert polynomial P. This observation make it possible to describe worst unstable points of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ for $d \gg 0$.

Consider $\text{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$ for a Hilbert polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ where t is a variable. Let g_P be the Gotzmann number associated to P. There is a function $\delta : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\binom{r + \delta(d) - 2}{r-1} < Q(d) \leq \binom{r + \delta(d) - 1}{r-1}$$

for all $d \geq g_P$. Here $Q(d) = \binom{r+d-1}{r-1} - P(d)$. By Gotzmann's persistence theorem introduced in [4], there's an integer $\gamma \geq 0$ such that $\delta(d) = d - \gamma$ for all $d \geq g_P$.

There is a function $l : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\sum_{0\le i\le l(d)-1} \binom{r+i-2}{r-2} < P(d) \le \sum_{0\le i\le l(d)} \binom{r+i-2}{r-2}$$

for $d \ge g_P$ if $P \neq 0$ and $l(d) = -1$ for all d if $P = 0$. Let's define another function $e : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ as follows.

$$e(d) = \sum_{0\le i\le l(d)-1} \binom{r+i-2}{r-2} i + l(d)\left[P(d) - \sum_{0\le i\le l(d)-1} \binom{r+i-2}{r-2}\right]$$

There are following asymptotic behaviors of these functions.

Lemma 3.1. *There is an integer $D_P \ge g_P$ such that every integer $d \ge D_P$ satisfies following properties.*

$$d^2P^2 - 4dPe + \frac{2r}{r-1}e^2 > 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{dP - \sqrt{d^2P^2 - 4dPe + \frac{2r}{r-1}e^2}}{2dP} < \frac{1}{r} \tag{3}$$

$$\left|dP - 2e - \sqrt{d^2P^2 - 4dPe + \frac{2r}{r-1}e^2}\right| < 2e \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{l}{d} < \frac{1}{6} \tag{5}$$

Furthermore, if P is a constant polynomial, then there's $D_P \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d \ge D_P$ implies (2), (3), (5) *and*

$$\left|dP - 2e - \sqrt{d^2P^2 - 4dPe + \frac{2r}{r-1}e^2}\right| < 2. \tag{6}$$

Proof. We claim that

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{l(d)}{d} = 0$$

and this implies

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{e(d)}{dP(d)} = 0.$$

These properties imply what we want to prove. $l(d)$ is the smallest integer such that

$$P(d) \le \sum_{i=0}^{l(d)} \binom{r+i-2}{r-2} = \binom{r+l(d)-1}{r-1}.$$

Let $\frac{r-2}{r-1} < \xi < 1$. Note that

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \sum_{i=\delta(d)}^{d} \frac{\binom{r+i-2}{r-2}}{\binom{r+\lceil d^\xi\rceil-1}{r-1}} = \lim_{d\to\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\gamma} \frac{\binom{r+d-\gamma+i-2}{r-2}}{\binom{r+\lceil d^\xi\rceil-1}{r-1}} = 0.$$

That is, for sufficiently large d,

$$P(d) < \binom{r+d-1}{r-1} - \binom{r+\delta(d)-2}{r-1} = \sum_{i=\delta(d)}^{d} \binom{r+i-2}{r-2} < \binom{r+\lceil d^\xi\rceil-1}{r-1}.$$

Therefore, $l(d) \leq \lceil d^\xi \rceil$ for $d \gg 0$. From the definition of e we get $e(d) \leq l(d)P(d) \leq \lceil d^\xi \rceil P(d)$ so that

$$0 \leq \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{e(d)}{dP(d)} \leq \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{l(d)}{d} \leq \lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{\lceil d^\xi \rceil}{d} = 0$$

, as desired. □

Fix a term order $x_1 > x_2 > \ldots > x_r$ and consider the lexicographic monomial order $\leq_{\text{lex}}$. Let $\mu_{t,d}$ be the t'th monomial of M_d. If $W \in \text{Gr}(S_d, P(d))$ is generated by

$$\{m \in M_d | m \geq_{\text{lex}} \mu_{P(d),d}\}$$

, then $\mathbf{c}^W = (c_1, \ldots, c_r)$ satisfies $c_1 = dP - e$. Moreover,

$$dP - e = \max_{W \in R_{d,P(d)}(S)} \mathbf{c}^W \cdot \mathbf{e}_1$$

where $\cdot$ is the standard inner product on $X(T)_\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{e_1} = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in X(T)_\mathbb{R}$. It's possible to show that if $d \geq D_P$ then a worst unstable point of $\text{Gr}(S_d, P(d))$ *nearly* attains that maximality in some sense.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose P is a constant polynomial and $d \geq D_P$. Let W be a worst unstable point of $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{P(d)} S_d)$ with respect to T. Put $\mathbf{c}^W = (c_1, \ldots, c_r)$. Then $\max_{1\leq i\leq r} c_i = dP(d) - e$. There is a permutation matrix $q \in \text{GL}_r(k)$ such that $S(q.W^\star)$ is a Borel-fixed ideal.*

Proof. Let $p = P(d)$. $c_i \leq dp - e$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Without loss of generality, let's assume that $c_i \geq c_{i+1}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. We claim that if $c_1 \leq dp - e - 1$ then W is not a worst unstable point. If $c_1 = dp - e$ then $|\Delta_W|$ is at least $(dp-e)^2 + \frac{1}{r-1}e^2$ because $\sum_{1\leq i\leq r} c_i = dp$. Therefore it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i^2 \leq c_1^2 + (dp - c_1)^2 = 2c_1^2 - 2dpc_1 + d^2p^2 < (dp - e)^2 + \frac{1}{r-1}e^2$$

if $\frac{dp}{r} \leq c_1 \leq dp - e - 1$. $c_1 \geq \frac{dp}{r}$ by the pegeonhole principle. The first inequality is trivial. By (2), the second inequality is equivalent to

$$\frac{dp - \sqrt{d^2p^2 - 4dpe + \frac{2r}{r-1}e^2}}{2} < c_1 < \frac{dp + \sqrt{d^2p^2 - 4dpe + \frac{2r}{r-1}e^2}}{2}.$$

By (3), we have

$$\frac{dp - \sqrt{d^2p^2 - 4dpe + \frac{2r}{r-1}e^2}}{2} < \frac{dp}{r}.$$

Since $r \geq 2$, we get

$$\frac{dp + \sqrt{d^2p^2 - 4dpe + \frac{2r}{r-1}e^2}}{2} \leq \frac{dp + \sqrt{d^2p^2 - 4dpe + 4e^2}}{2} = dp - e.$$

By (6),

$$\left| dp - e - \frac{dp + \sqrt{d^2p^2 - 4dpe + \frac{2r}{r-1}e^2}}{2} \right| < 1$$

so that

$$dp - e - 1 < \frac{dp + \sqrt{d^2p^2 - 4dpe + \frac{2r}{r-1}e^2}}{2} \leq dp - e.$$

Thus, the first statement is true. There is a permutation matrix $q \in \mathrm{GL}_r(k)$ such that $\mathbf{c}^{q.W^\star} = (c'_1, \dots, c'_r)$ satisfies $c'_i \geq c'_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. If $S(q.W^\star)$ is not Borel-fixed, then there are $n_1 \in N_{q.W^\star}$ and $n_2 \in S_d \setminus N_{q.W^\star}$ such that $x_i n_1 = x_j n_2$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. If $W' \in \mathrm{Gr}(S_d, Q(d))$ is generated by $N_W \cup \{n_2\} \setminus \{n_1\}$, then $|\Delta_{W^\star}| < |\Delta_{W'}|$. This contradicts maximality of $|\Delta_W|$, by Lemma 3.4. □

There is a property of worst unstable point of $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{P(d)} S_d)$ for general P, which is weaker than the conclusion of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let P be a Hilbert polynomial. If $d \geq D_P$ then every worst unstable point W of $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{P(d)} S_d)$ under the T action and $\psi_d^{P(d)}$ satisfies following properties.*

- *$\mathbf{c}^W = (c_1, \dots, c_r)$ satisfies $dP - 2e < \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i \leq dP - e$*
- *W is a $P(d)$-dimensional subspace of S_d generated by monomials and $x_k^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor}$ divides any monomial in W, where $c_k = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i$.*
- *For any monomial $n \in W$, $U_k(n) \subset W$.*
- *There is a permutation matrix $q \in \mathrm{GL}_r(k)$ such that $S(q.W^\star)$ is a Borel-fixed ideal.*

Proof. It is possible to modify the proof of Lemma 3.2 in order to prove that the first bullet is true for any $d \geq D_P$. Assume that $d \geq D_P$. Suppose there is a worst unstable point $W \in \mathrm{Gr}(S_d, P(d))$ which does not satisfy the third bullet. Without loss of generality, $W \in R_{d,P(d)}(S)$. There's a monomial $n \in W$ satisfying $U_k(n) \not\subset W$. Choose a monomial $m \in U_k(n) \setminus W$. For $P(d)$-dimensional subspace W' of S_d spanned by $N_W \cup \{m\} \setminus \{n\}$, we have $|\Delta_{W'}| > |\Delta_W|$ by maximality of c_k. It leads us to a contradiction. Therefore, the third bullet holds for $d \geq D$. If there is a worst unstable point $W \in \mathrm{Gr}(S_d, P(d))$ which does not satisfies the second bullet, there are monomials $m \in M_d \setminus W$ and $n \in W$ such that $x_k^{d-l(d)} \mid m$ and $x_k^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \nmid n$. Consider W' spanned by $N_W \cup \{m\} \setminus \{n\}$. By (5) and the first bullet, we can check that $|\Delta_{W'}| > |\Delta_W|$. This leads us to another contradiction, so that the second bullet holds for all $d \geq D_P$. We can prove the existence of q as we did in the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

We discussed about worst unstable points of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{P(d)} S_d)$, not $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$. However, there is a relation between worst unstable points of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{P(d)} S_d)$ and worst unstable points of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$.

Lemma 3.4. *$W \in R_{d,P(d)}(S)$ is a worst unstable point of $\mathrm{Gr}(S_d, P(d))$ with respect to T if and only if $W^\star \in R_{d,Q(d)}(S)$ is a worst unstable point of $\mathrm{Gr}(S_d, Q(d))$ with respect to T.*

Proof. For any $V \in R_{d,P(d)}(S)$, we have

$$\mathbf{c}^V + \mathbf{c}^{V^\star} = \frac{d}{r}\binom{r+d-1}{r-1}\mathbf{u}$$

where $\mathbf{u} \in X(T)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the all-1 vector. Let $\cdot : X(T)_{\mathbb{R}} \times X(T)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the standard inner product and $|\cdot|$ be the Euclidean norm defined by $\cdot$. Then, W is a worst unstable point of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{P(d)} S_d)$ if and only if

$$\left|\mathbf{c}^W - \frac{dP(d)}{r}\mathbf{u}\right|^2 = \max_{V \in R_{d,P(d)}(S)} \left|\mathbf{c}^V - \frac{dP(d)}{r}\mathbf{u}\right|^2$$

because $\Delta_V \subset \{\mathbf{v} \in X(T)_{\mathbb{R}} | \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} = dP(d)\}$ for all $V \in \mathrm{Gr}(S_d, P(d))$. Similarly, $W^\star$ is a worst unstable point of $\mathrm{Gr}(S_d, Q(d))$ if and only if

$$\left|\mathbf{c}^{W^\star} - \frac{dQ(d)}{r}\mathbf{u}\right|^2 = \max_{V \in R_{d,Q(d)}(S)} \left|\mathbf{c}^{V} - \frac{dQ(d)}{r}\mathbf{u}\right|^2.$$

Also, we have equalities

$$\max_{V \in R_{d,P(d)}(S)} \left|\mathbf{c}^{V} - \frac{dP(d)}{r}\mathbf{u}\right|^2 = \max_{V \in R_{d,P(d)}(S)} \left|\mathbf{c}^{V^\star} - \frac{dQ(d)}{r}\mathbf{u}\right|^2$$

$$= \max_{V \in R_{d,Q(d)}(S)} \left|\mathbf{c}^{V} - \frac{dQ(d)}{r}\mathbf{u}\right|^2$$

and

$$\left|\mathbf{c}^{W^\star} - \frac{dQ(d)}{r}\mathbf{u}\right| = \left|\mathbf{c}^{W} - \frac{dP(d)}{r}\mathbf{u}\right|.$$

It completes the proof. □

We've described worst unstable points of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ with respect to G for $d \gg 0$. However, a worst unstable point of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ may be not in $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$.

4. Worst Unstable Hilbert Points for a Constant Hilbert Polynomial

Are Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 useful to find worst unstable Hilbert points? In this section, we compute the Hilbert polynomial of worst unstable point of $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ to answer this question.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $W \in \mathrm{Gr}(S_d, Q(d))$. Assume that $W^\star \in \mathrm{Gr}(S_d, P(d))$ satisfies all bullets in Lemma 3.3 and $d \geq D_P$. Let J be the graded ideal of S generated by $W^\star$. Then $\dim_k(S/J)_t = P(d)$ for all $t \geq d$.*

Proof. $L = N_{W^\star}$ satisfies $1 \leq \min_{m \in L} \deg_{x_1} m$ and

$$L = \bigcup_{m \in L} U_1(m) = \bigcup_{m \in L} \{n x_1^{d - \deg n} | n \in k[x_2, \ldots, x_r], n \text{ divides } m|_{x_1 = 1}\} \cap M_d.$$

Consider a graded ideal $J = S(M_d \setminus L)$. We claim that the Hilbert polynomial of S/J is constant. We have inclusion

$$M_{d+1} \setminus J_{d+1} = M_{d+1} \setminus (S_1(M_d \setminus L)) = M_{d+1} \setminus M_1 \left[\bigcap_{m \in L} M_d \setminus U_1(m)\right]$$

$$\supset M_{d+1} \setminus \left[\bigcap_{m \in L} M_1(M_d \setminus U_1(m))\right].$$

It's easy to check that for every $m \in M_d$, $M_1(M_d \setminus U_1(m)) = M_{d+1} \setminus U_1(x_1 m)$ if $x_1 | m$. Note that for every $m \in L$, $x_1 | m$. Therefore,

$$M_{d+1} \setminus J_{d+1} \supset \bigcup_{m \in L} U_1(x_1 m).$$

If there is a monomial $n \in M_{d+1}$ such that $n \notin \bigcup_{m \in L} U_1(x_1 m)$ and $n \notin J_{d+1}$, then $deg_{x_1} n = 0$. (Otherwise, $n/x_1 \in J_d$.) Note that $\deg m|_{x_1 = 1} \leq d - 1$ for every $m \in L$

so that for any x_j dividing n, $n/x_j \in J_d$ because $\deg n/x_j|_{x_1=1} = d$. This leads us to another contradiction. That is,

$$M_{d+1} \setminus J_{d+1} = \bigcup_{m \in L} U_1(x_1 m).$$

Note that this set and L have the same cardinality. We can dehomogenize both of them by the first variable x_1 to compare them. Thus

$$\dim_k(S/J)_{d+1} = \dim_k(S/J)_d = P(d).$$

Using the same argument, we can show that $\dim_k(S/J)_{d+i+1} = \dim_k(S/J)_{d+i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction. □

Now we know if Lemma 3.3 is useful to find worst unstable Hilbert points.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose $d \geq D_P$. Then the followings are equivalent.*

i) *A worst unstable point of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ under the G action is in $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$.*
ii) *Every worst unstable point of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ under the G action is in $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$.*
iii) *P is a constant polynomial.*

Proof. ii)$\Longrightarrow$i) is trivial. Suppose P is a constant polynomial and $W \in R_{d,Q(d)}(S)$ is a worst unstable point of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ with respect to T. Lemma 4.1 implies that $W \in \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$ because $d \geq D_P \geq g_P$. This proves iii)$\Longrightarrow$ii). If i) is true, there is a worst unstable point $W \in R_{d,Q(d)}(S)$ of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S_d)$ with respect to T such that $W \in \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$. Then the Hilbert polynomial of S/SW is equal to P, which is a constant polynomial by Lemma 4.1. As a result, i)$\Longrightarrow$iii) is true. □

Consequently, Lemma 3.3 tells nothing about worst unstable points of $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$ for non-constant P. However, Lemma 3.2 is still useful when P is constant. Let $S' = k[x_2, \ldots, x_r]$. Suppose $H \in \mathrm{Gr}(S_d, Q(d))$ is the vector space spanned by $\{m \in M_d | x_1^{d-l(d)} \nmid m\}$. Let $\rho : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the function satisfies

$$\rho(d) = \binom{r + l(d) - 1}{r - 1} - P(d)$$

for all $d \geq g_P$. Note that ρ is constant for $d \geq g_P$ if P is constant.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose P is a constant polynomial. Assume $d \geq D_P$. For any worst unstable point W of $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$ under the G_r action and ϕ_d^P, there is $g \in G$ and a worst unstable point W' of $\mathrm{Gr}(S'_{l(d)}, \rho(d))$ under the T_{r-1} action such that*

$$g.W = x_1^{d-l(d)} W' + H$$

and $S(g.W)$ is a Borel-fixed ideal. In particular, the zero set defined by the graded ideal SW is a closed point in $\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 4.2, there is $W' \in R_{l(d),\rho(d)}(S')$ such that

$$g.W = x_1^{d-l(d)} W' + H$$

and $S(g.W)$ is a Borel-fixed ideal. W' is a worst unstable point of $\mathrm{Gr}(S'_{l(d)}, \rho(d))$ with respect to T_{r-1} by the maximality of $|\mathbf{c}^W|$. □

If we set $r = 3$, we get following consequence.

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose $r = 3$. Let c be a positive integer and $d \geq D_c$. For every worst unstable point x of $\mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^2_k)$ under the G action and ϕ^c_d, there is $g \in \mathrm{GL}_3(k)$ such that $g.x$ represents for the ideal generated by $\{m \in M_d | m \leq_{\mathrm{lex}} \mu_{P(d)+1,d}\}$.*

5. An Application of Murai's Result

We described location of almost all monomials in a worst unstable Hilbert point $W \in \mathrm{Gr}(S_d, Q(d))$ with respect to T when Hilbert polynomial is constant. For other Hilbert polynomials, however, we have to make use of the *minimal growth condition* of $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}_k)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose $M \subset M_d$ generate an ideal I. Let P and Q be the Hilbert polynomials of S/I and I, respectively. Assume $d \geq g_P$. If $[\wedge^{Q(d)} I_d] \in \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}_k)$ and $\binom{r+\delta-2}{r-1} < Q(d) \leq \binom{r+\delta-1}{r-1}$ for some $0 \leq \delta \leq d$ then there is a monomial m of degree $d - \delta$ such that m divides any monomial in M and $U_i(n) \subset M_d \setminus M$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$, for any $n \in M_d \setminus M$. The converse is true when $r = 3$.*

Theorem 5.1 is a restatement of [8, PROPOSITION 8]. Theorem 4.2 means that to describe the *shape* of a general Gotzmann monomial set helps us to find general worst unstable Hilbert points. Theorem 5.1 gives us a partial answer to that question. In this section we modify Theorem 4.1 to describe general worst unstable Hilbert points for some nonconstant P. Let $\delta : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a function satisfies

$$\binom{r+\delta(d)-2}{r-1} < Q(d) \leq \binom{r+\delta(d)-1}{r-1}$$

for all $d \geq g_P$. Note that if $d \geq g_P$, $\delta(d) = d - \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $p(d) = \binom{r+\delta(d)-1}{r-1} - Q(d)$ and $\alpha(d) = P(d) - p(d) = \binom{r+d-1}{r-1} - \binom{r+\delta(d)-1}{r-1}$. Fix a term order $x_1 > x_2 > \ldots > x_r$. Note that $g_P - \gamma \geq 0$. There's a lex-segment ideal L generated by $L_{g_P-\gamma}$ such that $\dim_k(S/L)_t = p(t+\gamma)$ for $t \gg 0$ and the Hilbert polynomial of $S/(x_1^\gamma L)$ is P. Let $l : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a function satisfies

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq l(d)-1} \binom{r+i-3}{r-3} < p(d) \leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq l(d)} \binom{r+i-3}{r-3}$$

for all $d \geq g_P$ when $p \neq 0$ and $l(d) = -1$ when $p = 0$. Put

$$e(d) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq l(d)-1} \binom{r+i-3}{r-3} i + l(d)\left[p(d) - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq l(d)-1} \binom{r+i-3}{r-3}\right]$$

Define

$$\epsilon(d) = \sum_{i=\delta+1}^{d} \binom{r+i-2}{r-2} i.$$

If $\gamma = 0$ then worst unstable points of $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{Q(d)} S(d))$ satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 5.1. Thus let's assume that $\gamma > 0$. Let's define the *Discriminant* $\Delta : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ and *center* $C : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ as follows.

$$\Delta(d) = \left(p\gamma + d\alpha - \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon}{r-1} - p\delta\right)^2 - 8p\delta e + 8e^2$$

$$C(d) = -p\gamma - d\alpha + \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon}{r-1} + p\delta$$

These functions have following asymptotic behavior.

Lemma 5.2. *If $\gamma \neq 0$ then*

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{p\delta}{C+\sqrt{\Delta}} = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{p}{P} = 0 \tag{8}$$

and

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{e}{dp} = 0 \tag{9}$$

Furthermore, if p is a constant,

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{C-\sqrt{\Delta}}{2} = 0^+. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Note that (9) follows from the proof of lemma 3.1.
It suffices to show that

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{\alpha}{P} = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{dP} = 1.$$

Let $J = x_1^\gamma L$ and μ be the last monomial of J_{g_P} with respect to the lex order. We can write μ as follows.

$$\mu = x_1^{a_0-1} x_2^{a_1-a_0} \dots x_{n+1}^{a_n-a_{n-1}+1}$$

where

$$1 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \ldots \leq a_n = g_P$$

and $n < r$. From the definition of γ, $x_1^\gamma x_r^{d-\gamma} \leq_{lex} \mu <_{lex} x_1^{\gamma+1} x_r^{d-\gamma-1}$. Therefore $a_0 = \gamma + 1$. Also,

$$Q(d) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{r-1-i+d-a_i}{r-1-i}$$

for all $d \geq g_P$. As a result, the leading term of $P(d) = \binom{r-1+d}{r-1} - Q(d)$ is

$$\frac{\gamma}{(r-2)!} d^{r-2}.$$

Note that for all $d \geq g_P$,

$$\alpha(d) = \binom{r+d-1}{r-1} - \binom{r+\delta-1}{r-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} \binom{r+d-\gamma+i-2}{r-2}$$

so that α and P have the same leading term. This proves that $\lim_{d\to\infty} \alpha/P = 1$. For ϵ and $d \geq g_P$, we have

$$\frac{\gamma}{(r-2)!}(d-\gamma)^{r-1} < \epsilon(d) < \frac{\gamma}{(r-2)!}(d+r-2)^{r-1}$$

so that $\lim_{d\to\infty} \epsilon/(dP) = 1$. □

Suppose p is a constant and $\gamma \neq 0$. By Lemma 5.2, there's $D^P \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Delta > 0$, $D^P \geq g_P$ and

$$0 < \frac{C - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} < 1 < p\delta < \frac{C + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \tag{11}$$

for any $d \geq D$.
Let $d \geq D^P$ and consider $F \in \mathrm{Gr}(S_\delta, \binom{r+\delta-2}{r-1})$ generated by

$$\{m \in M_\delta | x_1 \mid m\}$$

and $S' = k[\{x_i\}_{2 \leq i \leq r}]$.

Theorem 5.3. *Asuume $d \geq D^P$. Suppose p is a constant and $\gamma \neq 0$. Let $W \in \mathrm{Gr}(S_d, Q(d))$ be a worst unstable point of $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$ with respect to ϕ_d^P and $G = \mathrm{GL}_r(k)$. Then, there are $g \in \mathrm{GL}_r(k)$ and a worst unstable point W' of $\mathrm{Gr}(S'_\delta, \binom{r+\delta-2}{r-2} - p(d))$ under the T_{r-1} action such that*

$$g.W = x_1^\gamma (F + W')$$

and ideal $S(g.W)$ of S is Borel-fixed.

Proof. There is $g \in \mathrm{GL}_r(k)$ such that $g.W \in R_{d,P(d)}(S)$. Let $\mathbf{c}^{g.W} = (c'_1, c'_2, \ldots, c'_r) \in X(T)_\mathbb{R}$. Without loss of generality, $c'_i \geq c'_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. By Theorem 5.1, there is $m \in M_\gamma$ such that m divides every monomial in $g.W$ because $g.W \in \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$. There is unique $V \in R_{\delta,Q(d)}(S)$ such that $g.W = mV$. If $m \neq x_1^\gamma$ then $|\Delta_{g.W}| < |\Delta_{x_1^\gamma V}|$. Therefore $m = x_1^\gamma$. Let $\mathbf{c}^{V\star} = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_r)$. By definition, we have equality

$$\mathbf{c}^{g.W\star} = \mathbf{c}^{V\star} + \left(p\gamma + d\alpha - \epsilon, \frac{\epsilon}{r-1}, \ldots, \frac{\epsilon}{r-1}\right).$$

To prove existence of W', it suffices to show that $c_1 = 0$ if

$$2\left(p\gamma + d\alpha - \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon}{r-1}\right)c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i^2 > (p\delta - 2e)^2$$

because

$$|\mathbf{c}^{W'\star}|^2 > (p\delta - 2e)^2$$

for any worst unstable point W' of $\mathrm{Gr}(S'_\delta, \binom{r+\delta-2}{r-2} - p(d))$ by Lemma 3.3. We have inequality

$$0 < 2\left(p\gamma + d\alpha - \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon}{r-1}\right)c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i^2 - (p\delta - 2e)^2 \leq$$

$$2c_1^2 + 2\left(p\gamma + d\alpha - \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon}{r-1} - p\delta\right)c_1 + 4p\delta e - 4e^2.$$

It is equivalent to

$$\frac{C - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} > c_1$$

or

$$c_1 > \frac{C + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2}.$$

By (11) $c_1 = 0$.
If $S(g.W)$ is not Borel-fixed, then there are $n_1 \in N_{g.W}$ and $n_2 \in S_d \setminus N_{g.W}$ such that $x_i n_1 = x_j n_2$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. Using the existence of W', Lemma 3.2

and Lemma 3.4, we can show that $i \neq 1$ and $j \neq r$. Therefore, $W'' \in \mathrm{Gr}(S_d, Q(d))$ generated by $N_{g.W} \cup \{n_2\} \setminus \{n_1\}$ satisfies $(SW'')_t = (S(g.W))_t$ for all $t \geq d$ by Theorem 4.1. That is, $W'' \in \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$. Furthermore, $|\mathbf{c}^{W''}|^2 > |\mathbf{c}^{g.W}|^2$ because $c_i \geq c_j$, which cannot happen by the maximality of $|\mathbf{c}^{g.W}|$. □

Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 5.3 describes worst unstable Hilbert points with respect to G and ϕ_d^P when $d \gg 0$. Observe that these worst unstable Hilbert points remains unchanged for all but finitely many choices of d. we state this as a theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *Let Z_d^P be the set of all worst unstable Hilbert points of* $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$ *with respect to G and ϕ_d^P. If P satisfies* (1)*, then $Z_d^P = Z_{d'}^P$ for $d, d' \gg 0$.*

When $r = 3$, it is easy to check that any worst unstable point of $\mathrm{Gr}(S'_d, b)$ is in the $\mathrm{GL}_r(k)$-orbit of a lex-segment ideal. we state this as a corollary of Theorem 5.3.

Corollary 5.5. *For any Hilbert scheme* $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^2)$ *of curves in $\mathbb{P}_k^2$, every worst unstable point of* $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^2)$ *with respect to G and ϕ_d^P is in $\mathrm{GL}_r(k)$-orbit of a lex-segment ideal if $d \geq D^P$.*

By Corollary 4.4 and Corollary 5.5, we can identify all worst unstable Hilbert points when the ambient space is $\mathbb{P}_k^2$. Also, we can describe worst unstable Hilbert points when (1) holds. For all $d \geq g_P$,

$$P(d) = \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} \binom{r+d-i-1}{d-i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \binom{d-\gamma-i+1}{d-\gamma-i+1}$$

if (1) holds. This is the Macaulay representation of P at d. That is, γ and p can be computed from the Macaulay representation of P.

6. Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity of A Worst Unstable Hilbert Point

In [2], a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on Proj S is m-regular if

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1}, \mathcal{F}(m-i)) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$. Also, $\mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{F}) = \min\{m \in \mathbb{Z} | \mathcal{F} \text{ is } m \text{ regular.}\}$. For arbitrary graded S-module, we can define reg M. We have an equality

$$\mathrm{reg} \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1}, \mathcal{F}(d)) = \mathrm{reg}\ \mathcal{F}$$

as stated in [2, Corollary 4.17].

Throughout this section, let's assume (1). For these Hilbert polynomials, we described worst unstable Hilbert points. One can ask that if there is a relation between Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and GIT stability. We will simply compute regularity of worst unstable Hilbert points we found and compare it with g_P, the sharp upper bound for regularity of a Hilbert point in $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$. See [4] for details.

If $p \neq 0$ and $\gamma = 0$, let l_P be an integer satisfying

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq l_P - 1} \binom{r+i-2}{r-2} < p \leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq l_P} \binom{r+i-2}{r-2}.$$

If $p \neq 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0$, let l_P be an integer satisfying

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq l_P - 1} \binom{r+i-3}{r-3} < p \leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq l_P} \binom{r+i-3}{r-3}.$$

If $p = 0$, let $l_P = -1$. Now we are ready to compute regularity of worst unstable points in $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$.

Theorem 6.1. *Given $S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ and a Hilbert polynomial P satisfying* (1)*, there is an integer D such that the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of x is $l_P+\gamma+1$ for every integer $d \geq D$ and every worst unstable point x of $\mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^{r-1})$ under the G action and the map ϕ_d^P.*

Proof. Note that there is $g \in \mathrm{GL}_r(k)$ such that the saturated ideal represented by $g.x$ has minimal generator of maximal degree $l_P + \gamma + 1$ and Borel-fixed by Theorem 5.3, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4. By [3, Proposition 2.11], regularity of x is $l_P + \gamma + 1$. □

Considering lex-segment ideal whose Hilbert polynomial is Q, $g_P = p + \gamma$ when (1) holds. If $g_P = l_P + \gamma + 1$ and $r \geq 3$, then one of the following is true.

- $r = 3$ and $\gamma \neq 0$.
- $p \leq 2$.

That is, there is no general relation between Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and GIT-stability of a Hilbert point.

References

[1] David Bayer and Ian Morrison. Standard bases and geometric invariant theory. I. Initial ideals and state polytopes. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 6(2-3):209–217, 1988. Computational aspects of commutative algebra.

[2] David Eisenbud. *The geometry of syzygies*, volume 229 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. A second course in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry.

[3] J. Elias, J. M. Giral, R. M. Miró-Roig, and S. Zarzuela, editors. *Six lectures on commutative algebra*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010. Papers from the Summer School on Commutative Algebra held in Bellaterra, July 16–26, 1996, Reprint of the 1998 edition.

[4] G. Gotzmann. Eine bedingung für die flachheit und das hilbertpolynomeines graduierten ringes. *Math. Z.*, 158:61–70, 1978.

[5] Victoria Hoskins. Stratifications associated to reductive group actions on affine spaces. *Q. J. Math.*, 65(3):1011–1047, 2014.

[6] George R. Kempf. Instability in invariant theory. *Annals of Mathematics*, 108:299–316, 1978.

[7] Ian Morrison and David Swinarski. Gröbner techniques for low-degree Hilbert stability. *Exp. Math.*, 20(1):34–56, 2011.

[8] Satoshi Murai. Gotzmann monomial ideals. *Illinois J. Math.*, 51(3):843–852, 2007.

(CL) Department of Mathematics, POSTECH, Pohang, Gyungbuk 790-784, R. O. Korea

E-mail address: ghost279@postech.ac.kr